



Chairman Phil Mendelson

AN AMENDMENT

Bill 21-171, Health-Care Decisions Act of 2015

(Engrossed Original)

December 1, 2015

AMENDMENT:

Page 11, Line 217 is amended to read as follows:

“This title shall not be construed to create a private right of action, including a private right of action based on the failure to act in accordance with a MOST Form if that failure is based solely on religious beliefs.”.

RATIONALE:

The proposed amendment more closely aligns the liability provisions for MOST Forms with the liability provisions in District statute for other advance medical directives.

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Office of the Budget Director



Jennifer Budoff
Budget Director

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

TO: The Honorable Phil Mendelson
Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia

FROM: Jennifer Budoff - Budget Director *Jennifer Budoff*

DATE: December 1, 2015

SHORT TITLE: B21-171: the, "Health-Care Decisions Amendment Act of 2015"

TYPE: Amendment

REQUESTED BY: Chairman Phil Mendelson

Conclusion

This proposed amendment will not have an adverse impact on the District's budget and financial plan because there is no cost associated with implementing this amendment.

Background

This amendment adds language that would more closely align the liability provisions for MOST Forms with the liability provisions in District statute for other advance medical directives.

Analysis of Impact on Revenue

This amendment will not adversely affect revenue.

Analysis of Impact on Spending

This amendment will not adversely affect spending.



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

Council of the District of Columbia
1350 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 4
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 724-8026

MEMORANDUM

TO: Chairman Phil Mendelson

FROM: Ellen A. Efros, General Counsel *EAF*

DATE: December 1, 2015

RE: Legal Sufficiency Determination for Amendment to
Bill 21-171, the Health-Care Decisions Amendment
Act of 2015

This amendment is legally and technically sufficient for Council consideration.

This amendment clarifies that, under section 211, this bill does not create a private right of action, including a private right of action based on the failure to act in accordance with a MOST FORM if the failure is based solely on religious beliefs.